

2019 CoC APPLICATION ELIGIBILITY THRESHOLDS AND RATING AND RANKING CRITERIA

HUD ELIGIBILITY and THRESHOLD CRITERIA

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application
3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
4. Applicant is not Debarred and/or Suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.
5. Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to sub-recipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.
6. Applicant has submitted the required certifications and assurances as specified in the NOFA.
7. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.
8. Applicants must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, any victim service provider that is a recipient or sub-recipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any <u>personally identifying information</u> about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards.
9. Applicant has met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards (a-d) in relation to the project's prior grants:
(a) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;
(b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;
(c) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,
(d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.
10. Applicant has met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD’s financial expectations.
If any of the following (a-g) have occurred, the project applicant would NOT meet this threshold criteria:
(a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
(b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;
(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;

(f) History of not reimbursing sub-recipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and
(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

LOCAL TRESHOLD CRITERIA

Written commitment to Coordinated Entry Participation
Agrees to Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
Documented, secured minimum match
Application is complete, data are consistent
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review
Documented financial stability of applicant
Follows Local Process Procedures and Requirements, including timely submittals
Provides accurate Applicant Profile and e- SNAPs application
Commits to CoC policies: Educational assurances, Fair Housing, Family preservation, etc.

LOCAL SCORING ELEMENTS

Exits to Permanent Housing and Housing Stability
Length of Stay in Program
Returns to Homelessness
Increased earned income
Increased non-employment income
Serves High-need population: Zero income at entry
Serves High-need population: Persons with 2 or more disabilities at entry
Serves High-need population: Persons entering from places not meant for human habitation
Project has reasonable cost per success for program type
Enrolls persons in Health Care insurance
Serves vulnerable population identified in CoC (chronic, domestic violence, youth)
Creates additional housing (units or beds)
Has written partnerships with publicly funded employment / training resources.
Readiness to participate in CES system